

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/017,853	12/14/2001	Charles William Craft	044123-1634 9598		
7	590 08/26/2003				
BRYAN L LEMPIA MARSHALL GERSTEIN & BORUN 6300 SEARS TOWER 233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE			EXAMINER		
			HARRIS, ERICA B		
CHICAGO, IL 60606-6357			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3634		
			DATE MAILED: 08/26/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				ሃ			
·		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/017,853	CRAFT ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit	_			
		Erica B Harris	3634				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported to the plant of the plant is a second of the	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to sy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	<u>May 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under						
•	ion of Claims	na in the application					
-	Claim(s) <u>1-8,11,13-16 and 19-21</u> is/are pendi						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	☐ Claim(s) 11 and 13-15 is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-8,16 and 19-21</u> is/are rejected.						
•	☐ Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c ion Papers	or election requirement.					
· · _	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		aminer.				
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)🛛	The proposed drawing correction filed on 23 M	<i>ay 2003</i> is: a)⊠ approved b)□ ∈	disapproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.					
12) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.					
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119((a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* (Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	·					
а	The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application has been re	ceived.				
ر النازية Attachmen	•	10 priority diluci 50 0.0.0. 38 12					
1)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 10/017,853

Art Unit: 3634

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The proposed drawing corrections were received on May 23, 2003. These drawings are acceptable.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 16, and 19-21 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-25 of U.S. Patent No. 6,079,339. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because U.S. Patent No. 6,079,339 discloses a shelving system comprising at least one panel having a plurality of support structures and at least one post to support said panel, wherein the limitations of the claims of the instant invention are merely broader recitations of the limitations of U.S. Patent No. 6,079,339. Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the support structures of the instant

Application/Control Number: 10/017,853 Page 3

Art Unit: 3634

invention to include at least one having a curved configuration, as taught by U.S. Patent No.

6,079,339.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 5. Claims 11 and 13-15 are allowed.
- 6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: These claims have been found to be allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record neither teaches nor suggests a shelving system comprising at least one panel having a plurality of support structures that form alternating "Z"-shaped members across the width of the support structure and form a continuous support along the length of the support structure (claim 5); and wherein the support structures includes a set of alternating opposed cavities formed in the upper and lower surfaces of the panel such that the apertures along the bottom surface of the panel are larger than the apertures on the top surface thereof (claim 11).

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Page 4

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 11-13, filed May 23, 2003, with respect to the 7. rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-13, 15, 16, and 19-21 under Houk, Jr. et al. and claims 14, 17, and 18 under Houk, Jr. et al. in view of Welsch et al. have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Houk, Jr. et al.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 8. examiner should be directed to Erica B Harris whose telephone number is 703-306-9071. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P Stodola can be reached on 703-308-2686. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2168.

Erica B. Harris

August 25, 2003

DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Daniel P Stodola